

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 7/10/2019 10:15:32 AM

First name: Yamuna

Last name: Landsberg

Organization:

Title:

Comments:

Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project

There is great opposition to the Forest Service plan to drastically thin 1,800 acres in the Hyde Memorial State Park and Pacheco Canyon areas beginning in October. The public is unaware of this project and the effect it will have on favorite public areas. The FS has future plans to thin thousands of acres in the Sangre de Christos mountains, taking millions of trees at a time when our trees are more important than ever because of climate change. There are no environmental impact studies being done, so please send your comments ASAP, making at least two points:

1. An EIS (Environmental Study Impact) must be done.
2. The analysis must be sit specific to be meaningful.

Thank You,

Yamuna Landsberg

2208 Wilderness Arroyo

Santa Fe NM 87505

5059889890

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 6/25/2019 2:19:59 PM  
First name: Yamuna  
Last name: Landsberg  
Organization:  
Title:  
Comments:  
Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project

Jun 25, 2019

Forest Supervisor James Melonas

Dear Forest Supervisor Melonas,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Scoping Document for the Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project. I appreciate the Forest Service soliciting public comment on this project, but have a number of concerns with the Forest Service's proposal:

1. An EA is inappropriate for a project of this scale and complexity that impacts many threatened and sensitive species, old growth forests, roadless areas and streams and riparian areas. Because this project will have significant impacts to these and other resources, a thorough, site-specific analysis of all environmental impacts in an Environmental Impact Statement is required.
2. The Forest Service must analyze a full range of alternatives to the agency's proposal, including the Santa Fe Conservation Alternative submitted by WildEarth Guardians and others.
3. The Forest Service must identify and implement the minimum road system on a landscape scale and employ a thoughtful, strategic approach to assuring public access while reducing negative impacts from forest roads to water quality and aquatic habitats, and improving watersheds and forest resiliency by returning expensive, deteriorating, and seldom-used forest roads to the wild.
4. The Forest Service must consider the best available science. The agency cannot cherry-pick the science and data to support its proposal while ignoring contrary, credible views and data.
5. Climate change intensifies the adverse impacts associated with timber harvest, prescribed burning, and roads. The Forest Service must consider the risks of increased disturbance when analyzing the proposed project, as part of the affected environment, and as part of the agency's hard look at impacts.
6. The Forest Service must analyze the cumulative impacts of the proposed project with all other past, present and foreseeable future projects within the broader landscape, including the Hyde Park and Pacheco Canyon projects, livestock grazing, and motorized use.

Sincerely,

Yamuna Landsberg  
2208 Wilderness Arroyo  
Santa Fe, NM 87505  
yamuna@cybermesa.com